## **The Mechanism of the Thermal Rearrangement of the Marasmane Sesquiterpene (+)-lsovelleral. Cyclopropane Ring Closure** *via* **an Intramolecular Ene Reaction'**

## **Thomas Hansson,a Rolf Bergman,b Olov Sterner,a and Borje Wickberg\*a**

**<sup>a</sup>***Organic Chemistry 2, Lund Institute of Technology, P.O.B. 124, S-221 00 Lund, Sweden* 

b *Division of Organic Chemistry, AB Hassle, S-431 83 Molndal, Sweden* 

The reversible thermal conversion of the fungal sesquiterpene isovelleral **(2)** into **(1)** is a unique intramolecular ene reaction proceeding *via* the bicyclic enol **(3),** which is demonstrated by kinetic studies, deuterium incorporation, and the trapping of **(3).** 

In the course of a total synthesis of  $(+)$ -isovelleral  $(2)^1$  an unexpected thermal rearrangement reaction was discovered (Scheme 1). When heated neat, isovelleral (2) has earlier been shown to undergo a thermal rearrangement-elimination reaction to give the furanohydroazulene pyrovellerofuran **(7).2** This reaction was presumed to be initiated by a [1,5]homodienyl hydrogen shift giving an enol *[cf.* (3)] as the first intermediate. We have now found that, when the thermal reaction is performed in toluene solution, the synthetic diastereoisomer **(1)** isomerises reversibly into **(2)** prior to the formation of the furan. At equilibrium the ratio between **(1)**  and (2) is **49** : 51. Eventually, small quantities of the furan **(7)**  and the epimeric lactarane dialdehydes **(4)** and *(5)* are formed as by-products. The low temperature at which the isomerisation takes place (120-130 *"C),* as well as the nature of the by-products, is reconcilable with a reversible sigmatropic[ 1,5] homodienyl hydrogen shift mechanism (or synonymously, a retro-ene reaction).

In order to test the pericyclic mechanism, a toluene solution of  $(2)$  was heated in the presence of excess of  $D_2O$ . The intermediate exomethylenic enol (3) was expected to undergo a diffusion controlled proton-deuterium exchange at the hydroxy group, eventually to yield **(8)** and **(9)** having deuteriated C-12 methyl groups. With careful exclusion **of**  acid or base, the presence of  $D_2O$  did not significantly increase the formation of the furan **(7)** or of the aldehydes **(4)** and *(5),*  and the isotopic purity of the deuteriated products **(8)** and **(9)**  was better than 97% as determined by NMR spectroscopy. Thus the reaction also makes isotopically labelled isovelleral **(2)** readily available.

**Table 1.** Rate constants and deuterium isotope effects for the isomerisation of isovelleral **(2)** into **(1)** and **(9)** into **(8).a** 



<sup>a</sup> The rate constants  $(k_1)$ <sub>H</sub> and  $(k_1)$ <sub>D</sub> follow from the observed equilibrium constant  $K = k_1/k_{-1}$  for the interconversion of **(1)** and **(2)** or **(8)** and **(9)**,  $K_{\text{H}} = K_{\text{D}} = 1.04$ .



**Scheme 1.** The thermal interconversion of **(1)** and **(2)** was studied in the temperature range 128-150 *"C.* 

The intermediacy of enol **(3)** was confirmed by it being trapped exclusively as the E-silyl enol ether **(10)** when either **(1)** or **(2)** was heated in toluene with a 10-fold excess of  $N$ -methyl- $N$ -(t-butyldimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide. The silylation was in fact faster than recyclisation of the enol **(3),**  since no isomerisation of **(1)** into **(2)** or *vice versa* was observed. [Analogous experiments using *N,* 0-bis(trimethy1 sily1)trifluoroacetamide allowed the estimation of the lower limits of rate constants  $k_2$  and  $k_{-3}$  (Scheme 1).]†



**Scheme 2.** Stereochemical restrictions on the isovelleral rearrangement.

On the other hand, when the dialdehyde **(4)** was heated in toluene in the presence of  $CF_3(C:O)N(Me)SiBu<sup>t</sup>Me<sub>2</sub>$  a mixture of the  $E$ - and Z-enol ethers  $(10)$  and  $(11)$  was obtained, the 2-isomer **(11)** predominating in a *ca.* 1.4: 1 ratio. Cleavage of **(10)** or **(11)** with tetrabutylammonium fluoride afforded the dialdehydes (4) and (5) in  $ca$ . 2 : 1 ratio. $\ddagger$ 

The kinetics of the isomerisation **of (2)** and its deuteriated analogue **(9)** into **(1)** and **(S),** respectively, in approximately 0.045 M solutions in  $[{}^{2}H_{8}]$ toluene, were studied by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy at 300 **MHz.** Duplicate samples in sealed NMR tubes were immersed in a thermostatic oil bath with efficient stirring. Periodically, the samples were withdrawn and cooled rapidly, and the progress of the reaction was monitored **by**  integration of the well resolved NMR signals from H-5 and

t *En route* from **(1)** to *(2)* or *vice versa,* the enol(3) has to undergo a ring inversion. If the rate of this were of the same order or slower than the cyclisation reactions (rate constants  $k_{-2}$  and  $k_3$ ), then the true values of  $k_2$  and  $k_{-3}$  would be larger than those indicated by the silylation experiments (ca.  $5.4 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, at 407.8 **K).** The kinetic evaluation of these has been hampered by experimental difficulties.

*<sup>3:</sup>* The configurations at C-6 in **(4)** and **(5)** and at C-5 in **(10)** and **(11)**  were established with nuclear Overhauser enhancement (NOE) and **NOESY** experiments. New compounds were chromatographically homogeneous and gave spectroscopic and analytical and/or highresolution mass spectral data in accordance with their assigned structures.

H-13 in the aldehyde region.§ The reaction followed reversible first-order kinetics (Table 1).

The large primary kinetic isotope effect, which is close to the maximum kinetic isotope effects based on the loss of vibrational zero-point energy in the transition state, is consistent with a concerted hydrogen migration proceeding through a symmetrical transition state. The activation parameters were calculated for the reverse reaction  $[(1) \rightarrow (2)]$ ,  $E_a$  126.0  $\pm$  2.0 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, log A 11.8  $\pm$  0.2 s<sup>-1</sup>;  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  122.6  $\pm$  2.0 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  -30.5 ± 3.8 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>] and were obtained by a least-squares treatment of the experimental data. The reaction parameters are comparable to the corresponding values reported for the thermal opening of 1-acetyl-2,2 dimethylcyclopropane3 and of cis-1-alkenyl-2-methylcyclopropanes.<sup>4</sup>

The low tendency for formation of the dialdehydes **(4)** and **(5)** during the isomerisation of **(1)** and **(2)** in pure toluene was somewhat unexpected, and, even more surprisingly, when a toluene solution of either **(4)** or *(5)* and a small amount of triethylamine (to catalyse the keto-enol equilibrium) was heated for *2.5* h at 175 *"C,* partial cyclisation to **(1)** and **(2)**  took place in a reversible process (Scheme **1).** At equilibrium the approximate ratio between **(l), (2), (4),** and *(5)* was 25 : 25 : 35 : 15 as determined by NMR spectroscopy. When **(1)**  or **(2)** was heated in the presence **of** a catalytic amount of acetic acid, pyrovellerofuran **(7)** was the main product.

Presumably, a high ring strain in the hydroazulene dialdehydes **(4)** and *(5)* shifts the equilibrium towards the tricyclic cyclopropane derivatives **(1)** and **(2).** This is to our knowledge the first direct observation of a cyclopropyl ring formation via an intramolecular ene reaction of a y-olefinic carbonyl compound. Whereas enones permitting the formation of fiveor six-membered rings easily undergo an ene cyclisation via their enols  $[(12), n = 3 \text{ or } 4]$ , the reverse reaction normally takes over for  $n \le 2$  (Scheme 2).<sup>5,6</sup> The so-called 'abnormal

§ H-5 and H-13 NMR shifts of the dialdehydes in  $[2H_8]$ toluene with SiMe<sub>4</sub> as internal standard were respectively  $(\delta)$ :  $(1)$   $(9.70, 9.17)$ ;  $(2)$ (9.79, 9.08); **(4)** (9.20, 9.03); *(5)* (9.60, 8.98).

*7* In a strict sense, this comparison is only valid for the ring-opening steps (1)  $\rightarrow$  (3) or (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) with rate constants  $k_2$  and  $k_{-3}$ , respectively. However,  $(k_1)_{\text{H}} = k_2/(1 + k_2/k_3)$ , and the silylation experiments indicate that  $k_{-2}/k_3 \approx 0.45$ . Therefore the apparent activation parameters for the isomerisation reaction should give a fair approximation of the true parameters for the ring-opening reaction  $(1) \rightarrow (3)$ .

Claisen rearrangement,' sometimes observed in thermal rearrangements of ally1 aryl ethers possessing an alkyl group in the y-position, apparently involves an ene-retroene reaction sequence with a spirocyclopropane intermediate, but the latter has never been intercepted.<sup>3,7</sup> When applied to suitably substituted bicyclo[3.1 .O]heptanes, the retro-ene reaction of the **cis-1-acyl-2-alkylcyclopropane** system has been suggested as a synthetic route to hydroazulene sesquiterpenes.8

For steric reasons, **cis-1-methyl-2-vinylcyclopropyl** systems undergo thermal ring opening with almost exclusive formation of Z-1,4-dienes<sup>4</sup> via an endo-transition state (cf. Scheme 2), which according to theoretical calculations for the simplest case should have  $ca. 71$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> lower energy than the corresponding exo-transition state.9 By analogy with this, the exclusive formation of the silyl ether **(10)** of E-enol **(3)** in our trapping experiments with aldehydes **(1)** and **(2)** is not surprising and is also suggested on inspection of molecular models.

Synthetic work utilizing these findings for synthesis of sesquiterpenes containing the methylcyclopropyl-carbaldehyde group from hydroazulenic precursors is now underway in this laboratory.

Financial support from The Swedish Natural Research Council is gratefully acknowledged.

Received, *11th* June *1990; Corn. 0102611 E* 

## **References**

- 1 R. Bergman, T. Hansson, 0. Sterner, and B. Wickberg, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1990,865. Presented in part (B. Wickberg) at The First Princess Chulabhorn Sci. Congr. 1987, Int. Congr. on Nat. Prod., Proc. Vol. III, pp. 136-144, Mahidol University, Bangkok, 1989.
- 2 J. Froborg and G. Magnuson, *Tetrahedron,* 1978, **34,** 2027.
- 3 R. M. Roberts, R. **G.** Landolt, R. N. Greene, and E. W. Heyer, *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.,** 1967, **89,** 1404.
- 4 J. P. Daub and J. A. Berson, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1984, 40, 4463.
- 5 W. Oppolzer and **V.** Snieckus, *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.,* 1978, **17,** 476 and references cited therein.
- 6 J. M. Conia and P. Le Perchec, *Synthesis,* 1975, 1.
- 7 E. N. Marvell, D. R. Anderson, and J. Ong, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1962, **27,** 1109; H.-J. Hansen, in 'Mechanisms of Molecular Migrations,' ed. B. **S.** Thyagarajan, Wiley, New York, 1971, vol. 3, p. 177.
- **8** *S.* A. Monti and T. W. McAninch, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1974, 15, 3239.
- 9 R. J. Loncharich and K. N. Houk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1988,110, 2089.